

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.**TRANSMITTAL
FORM**

Application Number 10/722,203

Filing Date 11/25/2003

First Named Inventor Corey A. Salzer

Art Unit 3729

Examiner Name Paul D. Kim

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

3

Attorney Docket Number 27441/010

ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)☐ Fee Transmittal Form☐ Fee Attached☐ Amendment / Reply☐ After Final☐ Affidavits/declaration(s)☐ Extension of Time Request☐ Express Abandonment Request☐ Information Disclosure Statement☐ Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)☐ Reply to Missing Parts/
Incomplete Application☐ Reply to Missing Parts
under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53☐ Drawing(s)☐ Licensing-related Papers☐ Petition☐ Petition to Convert to a
Provisional Application☐ Power of Attorney, Revocation
Change of Correspondence Address☐ Terminal Disclaimer☐ Request for Refund☐ CD, Number of CD(s) _____☐ Landscape Table on CD☐ After Allowance Communication to TC☐ Appeal Communication to Board
of Appeals and Interferences☐ Appeal Communication to TC
(Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)☐ Proprietary Information☐ Status Letter☒ Other Enclosure(s)
(please identify below):

Telephone Interview Summary

[Remarks] It is believed that no fees are due in this matter. However, if it is determined that fees are due, the Commissioner is authorized to debit Deposit Account No. 502622 for the required fees.**SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT**

Firm

The Ollila Law Group LLC

Signature

Gregg Jansen

Printed Name

Date

03/21/07

Reg.
No.

49,799

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.

Signature

Typed or printed name

Janice C. Scarpello

Date

03/21/07

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Corey A. Salzer

Application No.: 10/722,203

Group No.: 3729

Filed: 11/25/2003

Examiner: Paul D. Kim

For: Sonically Ablated Sensor

TELEPHONE INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Applicant submits this telephone interview summary to meet the requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 1.133(b), and according to the requirements listed in MPEP § 713.04.

Date/Type of Interview: Telephone interview conducted on March 20, 2007

Examiner: Paul D. Kim

Name of Applicant's attorney: Gregg Jansen

Exhibits shown or demonstrations conducted: None

Claims discussed: Independent claim 1

Prior art discussed: Wang, U.S. Patent No. 5,293,025

General thrust of Examiner's arguments:

In view of FIGS. 2 and 3 of Wang, Examiner Kim stated that claim 1 should be limited to the single electrode 102, as shown in FIGS. 2 and 5 of the current application and as discussed at page 5, line 8 and at page 6, lines 14-15. Examiner Kim stated that claim 1 should be amended as shown below. Examiner Kim stated that he would pull the case back from appeal, make an Examiner's Amendment, and allow the case if the suggested claim amendment was agreed upon by Applicant's attorney.

General thrust of Applicant's arguments:

Agreement reached and general nature of the agreement:

Applicant's attorney agreed to the proposed Examiner's amendment.

Proposed amendments:

1. **(Proposed Amendment)** A method of making a sensor to measure an analyte in a solution, the method comprising:

 providing a substrate;
 printing conductive ink on the substrate to form a plurality of electrode regions;
 depositing an electrical insulation to cover **only** one of the electrode regions;
 sonically ablating the electrical insulation to form an array of pores through the electrical insulation to the conductive ink in the one electrode region; and
 depositing metal into the pores to form an array of electrodes in the one electrode region.

Other pertinent matters: none

Date: 3/20/07


SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

Gregg Jansen, Reg. No. 46,799
The Ollila Law Group LLC
Telephone: (303) 938-9999 ext. 14
Facsimile: (303) 938-9995

Correspondence address:

CUSTOMER NO. 36122